arXiv:1609.05494v2 [math.RA] 2 Nov 2016

Representation theory of the cyclotomic Cherednik algebra via the Dunkl-Opdam subalgebra

Ben Webster[1]
Department of Mathematics
University of Virginia
Charlottesville, VA
Email: bwebster@virginia.edu

Abstract. We give an alternate presentation of the cyclotomic rational Cherednik algebra, which has the useful feature of compatibility with the Dunkl-Opdam subalgebra. This presentation has a diagrammatic flavor, and it provides a simple explanation of several surprising facts about this algebra. It allows direct proof of the connection of category $\mathcal{O}$ to weighted KLR algebras, provides an algebraic construction of the KZ functor, and provides a better framework for connecting Cherednik algebras to Coulomb branches of 3-d gauge theories.

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the rational Cherednik algebra H in the cyclotomic case, i.e. that of the complex reflection group $G(\ell, 1, n)$. This is an algebra with a quite rich and interesting representation theory; this paper is dedicated to the proposition that this representation theory can be understood more clearly by choosing a different presentation. After the initial appearance of this paper on the arXiv, Griffeth pointed out to us that an almost identical presentation appeared in [Gri, §3.3], where it was studied with quite different motivations. This presentation is also closely related to a presentation for a partially spherical subalgebra of H independently discovered recently by Braverman-Etingof-Finkelberg [BEF].

In Section 2, we describe this presentation, and prove that it gives the Cherednik algebra. This presentation may not look obviously simpler than the familiar one introduced by Etingof and Ginzburg [EG02, (1.15)], but it does have a graphical calculus which allows it to be described in terms of small local relations (much like the KLR algebras [KL09, Rou]). Furthermore, it has another dramatic advantage: it contains a manifest polynomial subalgebra defined by Dunkl and Opdam [DO03, Def. 3.7]. This subalgebra commutes with the Euler element (unlike the usual polynomial subalgebras, where all generators have weight ± 1 in the Euler grading). While exploited profitably in earlier papers of Dunkl and Griffeth [DG10, Gri], there is much more this subalgebra can tell us about the representation theory of these algebras.

In Section 3, we turn to using this presentation to study the representation theory of the Cherednik algebra, using weight spaces for the Dunkl-Opdam polynomial subalgebra. This allows a new interpretation of previous work of the author relating category

[1]Supported by the NSF under Grant DMS-1151473 and the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation.

$\mathcal{O}$ of Cherednik algebras to weighted KLR algebras [Webb], a key step in proving Rouquier's conjecture on the decomposition numbers of category $\mathcal{O}$ for Cherednik algebras (this result was proved by other methods in [RSVV, Losb]). That work depended on a very indirect method using uniqueness of highest weight covers, whereas using this new presentation, it can be proven directly. Similarly, the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov functor of [GGOR03], which had only been constructed analytically before, can be realized as a sum of weight spaces for the Dunkl-Opdam polynomial subalgebra (in particular, we can define the KZ functor over an arbitrary characteristic 0 field, not just $\mathbb{C}$). These results are only valid in characteristic 0, but this technique is also promising for studying the Cherednik algebra and coherent sheaves on Hilbert schemes in characteristic p.

In Section 4, we discuss the original motivation for this presentation: to exhibit an isomorphism between the spherical Cherednik algebra and the Coulomb branch of a certain 3-d gauge theory. While this paper was in preparation, this isomorphism was proven independently by Kodera-Nakajima [KN]. This isomorphism looks quite strange in the usual presentation of the Cherednik algebra, and quite natural in the alternate one given here. It would be quite interesting to find a geometric description of the Cherednik algebra like the BFN construction of the Coulomb branch [Nak, BFN], in terms of convolution in homology.

Acknowledgements

We thank Stephen Griffeth for pointing out the connection of this paper to his earlier work, Joel Kamnitzer and Ivan Losev for discussions during the development of these ideas, Hiraku Nakajima for a number of helpful comments on an early draft of this paper and Alexander Braverman, Pavel Etingof and Michael Finkelberg for sharing a preliminary version of their paper on related topics.

2. An alternate presentation

Let $\Bbbk$ be a field of characteristic coprime to ℓ and ζ be a primitive ℓth root of unity in $\Bbbk$. For most purposes, we can take $\Bbbk = \mathbb{C}$ and $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/\ell}$.

Let Γ be the group of $n \times n$ monomial matrices with entries given by ℓth roots of unity; this group is a wreath product of S_n with $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$. It's generated by the permutation matrices (identified with S_n) and the matrices $t_j = \mathrm{diag}(1, \dots, \zeta, \dots, 1)$ with $(t_j)_{jj} = \zeta$ and all other diagonal entries 1.

Fix parameters $k, h_1, \dots, h_{\ell-1}$, and let

$$p(u) = \sum_{s=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{r=0}^{\ell} \zeta^{-rs} h_r u^s.$$

We can equivalently fix the values $s_m = p(\zeta^m) - m\hbar$ for $m = 0, \dots, \ell - 1$.

We'll consider the cyclotomic rational Cherednik algebra H for Γ, generated over $\mathbb{K}[\Gamma]$ by two alphabets of commuting variables $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n$. The former transform in the defining representation of Γ and the latter in its dual. The final relation is

$$[x, y] = \hbar\langle x, y\rangle - \sum_{s\in S} c_s\langle x, \alpha_s\rangle\langle\alpha_s^\vee, y\rangle.$$

We will use slightly different conventions here, following the conventions of [GL, §2.1.3], fixing parameters k and $h_0, \dots, h_\ell$, so these relations take the form:

$$[x_i, y_i] = \hbar - k\sum_{j\neq i}\sum_{p=0}^{\ell-1} t_i^p t_j^{-p}(ij) - \sum_{s=1}^{\ell-1}\sum_{r=0}^{\ell-1}(\zeta^{-rs}(h_r - h_{r-1})t_i^s$$

$$[x_i, y_j] = k\sum_{p=0}^{\ell-1}\zeta^p t_i^p t_j^{-p}(ij) \qquad (i \neq j)$$

Let $\mathbb{K} = \Bbbk[\hbar]$. Consider the free $\mathbb{K}$ algebra $\tilde{A}$ generated by the group algebra $\mathbb{K}\Gamma$ and the symbols σ, τ, and u_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We define $u_i, t_i \in \tilde{A}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ by the rule $u_i = u_{i-n} - \hbar$, and $t_i = t_{i-n}\zeta$.

Definition 2.1 *We let A be the quotient of this algebra by the relations:*

$$u_i(j, j+1) = (j, j+1)u_{(j,j+1)\cdot i} - k\cdot\alpha_j^\vee(\epsilon_i)\Big(\sum_{p=0}^{\ell-1} t_j^p t_{j+1}^{-p}\Big) \qquad j = 1, \dots, n-1 \tag{2.1a}$$

$$\sigma(j, j-1) = (j+1, j)\sigma \qquad j = 2, \dots, n-1 \tag{2.1b}$$

$$\tau(j, j+1) = (j-1, j)\tau \qquad j = 2, \dots, n-1 \tag{2.1c}$$

$$\sigma\tau = u_1 + p(t_1) \tag{2.1d}$$

$$\tau\sigma = u_n + \hbar + p(\zeta^{-1}t_n) \tag{2.1e}$$

$$u_i u_j = u_j u_i \qquad i, j \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.1f}$$

$$u_i\sigma = \sigma u_{i-1} \qquad i \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.1g}$$

$$u_i\tau = \tau u_{i+1} \qquad i \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.1h}$$

$$t_i\sigma = \sigma t_{i-1} \qquad i \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.1i}$$

$$t_i\tau = \tau t_{i+1} \qquad i \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{2.1j}$$

$$\tau(1, 2)\sigma = \sigma(n-1, n)\tau - k\Big(\sum_{p=0}^{\ell-1}\zeta^p t_n^p t_1^{-p}\Big) \tag{2.1k}$$

Remark 2.2. Note that these relations are closely related to those for the degenerate DAHA given in [BEF, Def. 2.1], and should be regarded as a higher level version of this presentation.

We can represent these elements graphically as string diagrams on a cylinder with a seam. We'll draw these on the page with the cylinder cut along the seam. The

generators are:



The relations of Γ and (2.1a–2.1k) are determined by simple local rules such as:



Consider the permutations $\chi_i = (i, \ldots, 1)$ and $\upsilon_i = (i, \ldots, n)$.

Theorem 2.3 *The algebras A and H are isomorphic via maps identifying the copies of $\mathbb{K}[\Gamma]$ and sending*

$$x_i \mapsto \chi_i \sigma \upsilon_i^{-1} \qquad y_i \mapsto \upsilon_i \tau \chi_i^{-1}.$$

The elements $\chi_i \sigma \upsilon_i^{-1}$ and $\upsilon_i \tau \chi_i^{-1}$ have natural graphical representations:



Proof. First we need to check the compatibility of this map with the action of Γ. Note that the images of x_i and y_i compute with transpositions except $(i, i \pm 1)$, and

$$(i, i \pm 1)\chi_i \sigma \upsilon_i^{-1}(i, i \pm 1) = \chi_{i \pm 1} \sigma \upsilon_{i \pm 1}^{-1} \qquad (i, i \pm 1)\upsilon_i \tau \chi_i^{-1}(i, i \pm 1) = \upsilon_{i \pm 1} \tau \chi_{i \pm 1}^{-1}$$

This establishes equivariance for $S_n \subset \Gamma$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_i\sigma\upsilon_i^{-1}t_i &= \chi_i\sigma t_n\upsilon_i^{-1} & \upsilon_i\tau\chi_i^{-1}t_i &= \upsilon_i\tau t_1\chi_i^{-1}\\ &= \chi_i t_{n+1}\sigma\upsilon_i^{-1} & &= \upsilon_i t_0\tau\chi_i^{-1}\\ &= t_{n+i}\chi_i\sigma\upsilon_i^{-1} & &= t_{i-n}\upsilon_i\tau\chi_i^{-1}\\ &= \zeta t_i\chi_i\sigma\upsilon_i^{-1} & &= \zeta^{-1}t_i\upsilon_i\tau\chi_i^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Similar calculations show that these elements commute with the other t_j's. Thus, these elements have the correct commutation relations with Γ.

Therefore, we need only check that they have the correct commutator with each other:

$$\begin{aligned}[\chi_i\sigma\upsilon_i^{-1}, \upsilon_i\tau\chi_i^{-1}] &= \chi_i\sigma\tau\chi_i^{-1} - \upsilon_i\tau\sigma\upsilon_i^{-1}\\ &= \chi_i(u_1 + p(t_1))\chi_i^{-1} - \upsilon_i(u_n - \hbar + p(\zeta^{-1}t_n))\upsilon_i^{-1}\\ &= \hbar + p(t_i) - p(\zeta^{-1}t_i) + \chi_i u_1\chi_i^{-1} - \upsilon_i u_n\upsilon_i^{-1}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}&p(t_i) - p(\zeta^{-1}t_i)\\ &\qquad = \sum_{s=1}^{\ell-1}\sum_{r=0}^{\ell}\zeta^{-rs}h_r t_i^s - \sum_{s=1}^{\ell-1}\sum_{r=0}^{\ell}\zeta^{-(r+1)s}h_r t_i^s = \sum\sum\zeta^{-rs}(h_r - h_{r-1})t_i^s.\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Similarly,

$$\chi_i u_1\chi_i^{-1} = u_i - k\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}\sum_{p=0}^{\ell-1}t_j^p t_i^{-p}(j,i); \tag{2.4}$$

$$\upsilon_i u_n\upsilon_i^{-1} = u_i + k\sum_{j=i+1}^{n}\sum_{p=0}^{\ell-1}t_j^p t_i^{-p}(j,i). \tag{2.5}$$

Thus, combining (2.2–2.5), we see that

$$[\chi_i\sigma\upsilon_i^{-1}, \upsilon_i\tau\chi_i^{-1}] = \hbar + \sum_{s=1}^{\ell-1}\sum_{r=0}^{\ell}\zeta^{-rs}(h_r - h_{r-1})t_i^s - k\sum_{i\neq j}\sum_{p=0}^{\ell-1}t_j^p t_i^{-p}(j,i). \tag{2.6}$$

Similarly, if $i \neq j$, then

$$[\chi_i\sigma\upsilon_i^{-1}, \upsilon_j\tau\chi_j^{-1}] = \chi_i\upsilon_j(\sigma(1,2)\tau - \tau(n-1,n)\sigma)\upsilon_i^{-1}\chi_j^{-1} = k\sum_{p=0}^{\ell-1}\zeta^p t_i^p t_j^{-p}(i,j). \tag{2.7}$$

Thus, we have verified the existence of a map $\mathsf{H} \to A$. The inverse is defined by

$$\sigma \mapsto (1,\dots,i)x_i(i,\dots,n) \qquad \tau \mapsto (n,\dots,i)y_i(i,\dots,1) \tag{2.8}$$

$$u_i \mapsto y_i x_i + p(\zeta^{-1}t_i) - k\sum_{j>i}\sum_{p=0}^{\ell-1}t_j^p t_i^{-p}(ij) + \hbar = x_i y_i + p(t_i) + k\sum_{j<i}\sum_{p=0}^{\ell-1}t_j^p t_i^{-p}(ij) \tag{2.9}$$

so this map is an isomorphism. □

Lemma 2.4 *Under this isomorphism, the deformed Euler element* eu *of the Cherednik algebra matches* $u_1 + \cdots + u_n + n/2$ *under this isomorphism.*

Proof. This follows immediately from (2.9) and the formula for the deformed Euler element given in [GL, §2.3.5]. □

Lemma 2.5 *The elements* u_i *for* $i = 1, \ldots, n$ *generate a subring of* A *isomorphic to* $\mathbb{K}[u_1, \ldots, u_n]$.

Proof. The elements u_i commute by (2.1f). Furthermore, their images in the associated graded $\operatorname{gr} \mathsf{H} \cong \mathbb{K}[\Gamma] \otimes \mathbb{K}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ are given by $x_1 y_1, \ldots, x_n y_n$. Since these are algebraically independent, the u_i are as well, and so they generate a copy of the polynomial ring. □

Thus, considering the simultaneous eigenspaces of these operators gives a finer decomposition of the Euler eigenspaces which we will study in the following section.

Note that this presentation allows us to give a "strange" polynomial representation of the Cherednik algebra on the ring $\mathscr{U}$ of polynomials over $\mathbb{K}$ in the variables $U_1, \ldots, U_n$ and $T_1, \ldots, T_n$ modulo the relations $T_i^\ell = 1$. As before, we define U_i, T_i for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, by the formula $U_i = U_{i-n} - \hbar, T_i = \zeta T_{i-n}$. To distinguish between the polynomial representation we wish to define and the action of Γ on polynomials induced by its linear action, we use f^σ to denote the image of f under the latter action of $\sigma \in \Gamma$. The desired representation sends

$$u_i \cdot f(\mathbf{U}; \mathbf{T}) = U_i f(\mathbf{U}; \mathbf{T}) \tag{2.10}$$

$$t_i \cdot f(\mathbf{U}; \mathbf{T}) = T_i f(\mathbf{U}; \mathbf{T}) \tag{2.11}$$

$$(i, i+1) \cdot f(\mathbf{U}; \mathbf{T}) = f^{(i,i+1)} - k\ell \frac{f^{(i,i+1)} - f}{U_{i+1} - U_i} \pi_{i,i+1} \tag{2.12}$$

$$\sigma \cdot f(\mathbf{U}; \mathbf{T}) = (u_1 + p(t_1)) f(U_2, U_3, \ldots, U_n, U_{n+1}; T_2, T_3, \ldots, T_n, T_{n+1}) \tag{2.13}$$

$$\tau \cdot f(\mathbf{U}; \mathbf{T}) = f(U_0, U_1, \ldots, U_{n-2}, U_{n-1}; T_0, T_1, \ldots, T_{n-2}, T_{n-1}) \tag{2.14}$$

where $\pi_{i,i+1}$ is the $\Bbbk[\mathbf{U}]$-linear map that sends $T_1^{z_1} \cdots T_n^{z_n} \mapsto \delta_{z_i, z_{i+1}} T_1^{z_1} \cdots T_n^{z_n}$. This is an extension to the whole Cherednik algebra of the action by difference operators introduced by Kodera-Nakajima in [KN, Thm. 1.5].

This representation is generated by the constant function 1, subject to the left ideal of relations generated by

$$(i, i+1) \cdot 1 = \tau \cdot 1 = 1 \qquad \sigma \cdot 1 = u_1 + p(t_1)$$

Note that if we transport structure from this representation to the Cherednik algebra H then the formulae for the action of x_i and y_i will be quite complicated.

Note also that the invariants of Γ acting on the ring $\mathscr{U}$ are simply the S_n-invariant functions in the variables U_i (for the usual action or equivalently, the dAHA action).

Thus, the spherical Cherednik algebra $e\mathsf{H}e$ acts naturally on these symmetric polynomials.

In the discussion above, we can think of $s_0, \dots, s_{\ell-1}, k$ as formal variables, in which case, we'll obtain an action on $\mathscr{U}^\Gamma \otimes \Pi$, where $\Pi = \Bbbk[s_0, \dots, s_{\ell-1}, k]^{S_\ell}$

3. Weighted KLR algebras

This presentation gives a concrete equivalence between a category of representations of the Cherednik algebra, and representations of a weighted KLR algebra, originally proven in [Webb]. In this section, we set $\hbar = 1$ for simplicity, and assume that we have numerical parameters $k, s_i \in \Bbbk$.

Definition 3.1 *Let H-mod$_u$ be the category of H-modules on which the polynomial ring $\Bbbk[u_1, \dots, u_n]$ act locally finitely, with finite dimensional generalized weight spaces. We call modules in this category* **weight modules.**

By Lemma 2.4, any module where the Euler element eu acts with finite dimensional generalized weight spaces lies in this category. In particular, any module in the GGOR category $\mathcal{O}$ is a weight module in this sense.

Of course, for each pair $\mathbf{a} \in \Bbbk^n$ and $\mathbf{z} \in \mu_\ell(\Bbbk)^n$, we have an exact generalized weight space functor

$$W_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{z}}(M) = \{m \in M \mid (u_i - a_i)^N m = (t_i - z_i)^N m = 0 \text{ for } N \gg 0\}.$$

Consider the additive quotient group $\Bbbk/\ell\mathbb{Z}$. We have a natural homomorphism $\gamma\colon \mu_\ell \to \Bbbk/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ sending $\zeta^m \mapsto m \pmod{\ell\mathbb{Z}}$. Let $\Sigma\colon \Bbbk \times \mu_\ell(\Bbbk) \to \Bbbk/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ be the homomorphism $\Sigma(a, z) = \bar{a} + \gamma(z)$.

Fix a subset D of $\Bbbk/\ell\mathbb{Z}$, and let

$$\tilde{D} = \Sigma^{-1}(D) = \{(x, \zeta^m) \mid x + m \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell\mathbb{Z}}\}.$$

We give D the structure of a quiver by adding an arrow $m \to m - k\ell$ whenever both lie in D. Note that if $k = a/e \in \mathbb{Q}$, then D is a subgraph of a union of e-cycles, whereas if $k \notin \mathbb{Q}$ then D is a subgraph of a union of infinite linear quivers. Let H-mod$_D$ be the subcategory of H-mod$_u$ killed by the functors $W_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{z}}$ where $(a_i, z_i) \notin \tilde{D}$.

3.1. **Characteristic** 0**.** Assume that $\Bbbk$ is a field of characteristic 0. Accordingly, $\Bbbk$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space, and using the axiom of choice, we can choose a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map $\Upsilon\colon \Bbbk \to \mathbb{R}$ which sends $1 \mapsto 1$. Note that making this choice, we have another divergence between two important cases: if $k \in \mathbb{Q}$, then we must have $\Upsilon(k) = k$; on the other hand, if $k \notin \mathbb{Q}$, then $\Upsilon(k)$ can be chosen freely. For example, in the latter case, we could without loss of generality assume that $\Upsilon(k) = 0$. Note that while our precise description of the attached weighted KLR algebra will depend on the choice of Υ, this choice is purely auxilliary, and changing it will result in two algebras which are isomorphic by [Webc, 2.15].

This category has a natural description in terms of weighted KLR algebras. Consider the reduced weighted KLR algebra attached to the quiver D, with the weighting on each edge given by $\Upsilon(k)$, together with a Crawley-Boevey vertex added. The edges to the Crawley-Boevey vertex are in bijection with indices i such that $-s_i \pmod{\ell\mathbb{Z}} \in D$; this is the connected vertex, and the weighting of the edge is $\Upsilon(-p(\zeta^i))$. Note that this means that two edges connected to the same vertex can never have the same weighting. Here again we see an important dependance on whether $k \in \mathbb{Q}$. In this case, we are forced to use weightings in an essential way, whereas if $k \notin \mathbb{Q}$, then we can make the weighting on every edge in D trivial.

Choose $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ to be smaller than $|\Upsilon(a_i - a_j)|/n$ for any pair i and j with $\Upsilon(a_i - a_j) \neq 0$. For every pair of n-tuples $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{z}$ as above, we can define a loading as follows: we send $\Upsilon(a_i) + i\epsilon$ to the element $a_i + \Gamma(z_i) \in D$. Consider the reduced weighted KLR algebra R_D where the loadings listed above are the allowed weightings; we'll also consider the completion $\widehat{R}_D$ of this algebra with respect to its grading. We let $e(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z})$ denote the idempotent corresponding to this spectrum in R_D or the completion $\widehat{R}_D$.

Since there is a real danger of being buried in an avalanche of notation, let us simplify a bit here: assume that $k = 1/e$, consider $1/\ell D = 1/e\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$, which thus has the usual cyclic quiver structure. Furthermore, assume that $s_i/\ell \in 1/e\mathbb{Z}$ for all i. Thus, we obtain a weighted KLR algebra for the cyclic quiver with a Crawley-Boevey vertex, with non-trivial weight $1/e$ assigned to every edge of the cycle, as in [Webb]. In this case, we have

$$\tilde{D} = \{(a, \zeta^m) \mid ea \equiv -m \pmod{\ell\mathbb{Z}}\}.$$

In this case, we must have $a = \Upsilon(a)$, so:

(*) an appearance of a as an eigenvalue corresponds to a strand at $x = a$ (with the order in $\mathbf{a}$ breaking ties when $a_i = a_j$), with label determined by the class of $(a - m)/\ell \in 1/e\mathbb{Z}$ modulo $\mathbb{Z}$.

Amongst the loadings we can realize associated to such $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z})$ are the loadings $\mathbf{i}_\xi$ associated to a ℓ-multipartition ξ of n in [Webb, §2.2.3]. Given a multipartition, pick a standard tableau on its diagram filled with the integers $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ (our loading will be independent of this choice of tableau up to isomorphism). If the box with entry m is in the box (u, v, w) in the uth row and vth column of the wth component of the multitableau, then we let

$$a_m = w + \frac{\ell}{e}(v - u) \qquad z_m = \zeta^{-w}.$$

The resulting idempotent $e(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z})$ is isomorphic to the idempotent $e_{\mathbf{i}_\xi}$ via the straight-line diagram. They are not quite the same due to different conventions about ϵ-shifts, but these differences are inconsequential.

Now, consider the case where $k \notin \mathbb{Q}$. As mentioned before, we can assume that $\Upsilon(k) = 0$. Let $D = k\ell\mathbb{Z}$, so this is an A_∞ quiver identified with $\mathbb{Z}$, and again, assume that $s_i = \ell k p_i$ for $p_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. So, we obtain a KLR algebra with no weights on the edges of

this A_∞ quiver, and the weights on new edges just reflects the order on the s_i's, since

$$\Upsilon(-p(\zeta^i)) = \Upsilon(-s_i - i) = -i.$$

In this case,

$$\tilde{D} = \{(uk + (v\ell - m), \zeta^m) \mid u, v \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

By [Webc, 3.5], the resulting weighted KLR algebra is $\tilde{T}_n^{\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}$ as defined in [Weba, §4], where $\underline{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ is the list of dominant weights for A_∞ defined by $\lambda_i = \omega_{p_{\ell+1-i}}$.

Note that the extended affine Weyl group $S_n \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^n$ acts on $\tilde{D}^n$ by permutations and translations sending

$$(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}) \mapsto ((a_1 + m_1, \dots, a_n + m_n), (\zeta^{-m_1} z_1, \dots, \zeta^{-m_n} z_n)).$$

Two pairs lie in the same orbit if and only if their images in D agree up to permutation of the entries. Consider the length 0 element

$$\nu \cdot (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}) = ((a_2, a_3, \dots, a_1 + 1), (z_2, \dots, \zeta^{-1} z_1)).$$

For two pairs $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z})$ and $(\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{z}') = \pi \cdot (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z})$ with π in the extended affine Weyl group, we let $\xi(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}, \pi)$ be the straight-line diagram connecting these loadings. Let $r_m = (m, m+1)$.

Note that the quotient $\mathsf{H}/\sum(\mathsf{H}(u_i - a_i)^N + \mathsf{H}(t_i - z_i)^N)$ is itself a weight module. These modules form a projective system, whose projective limit $P_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{z}}$ represents the functor $W_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{z}}$.

Lemma 3.2 *There is an isomorphism*

$$\Xi\colon \widehat{R}_D \to \mathrm{End}(\bigoplus_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{z}} P_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{z}}). \tag{3.1}$$

such that $\Xi(e(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}))$ is the projection to $P_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{z}}$, and the dot $y_m e(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z})$ on the strand corresponding to (a_m, z_m) is sent to

$$\Xi(y_m e(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z})) = (u_m - a_m) e(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}).$$

We have that $\Xi(\xi(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}, r_m)) = e(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}) r_m$ if $z_m \neq z_{m+1}$ and if $z_m = z_{m+1}$, then

$$\Xi(\xi(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}, r_m)) = \begin{cases} \mathsf{e}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}) \frac{1}{u_m - u_{m+1} + k\ell} \theta_m & a_m + k\ell \neq a_{m+1} \neq a_m \\ e(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}) \theta_m & a_m + k\ell = a_{m+1} \neq a_m \\ e(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}) \frac{1}{u_{m+1} - u_m - k\ell} (r_m - 1) & a_m + k\ell \neq a_{m+1} = a_m \\ e(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z})(1 - r_m) & a_m + k\ell = a_{m+1} = a_m. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Furthermore,

$$\Xi(\xi(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}, \nu)) = \begin{cases} \sigma & a_1 = -p(z_1) \\ \frac{1}{u_1 + p(z_1)} \sigma & a_1 \neq -p(z_1) \end{cases} \qquad \Xi(\xi(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}, \nu^{-1})) = \tau.$$

In the formulas above, we have used that if f is a n-variable polynomial such that $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq 0$, then $f(u_1, \dots, u_n) e(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z})$ can be inverted, using the geometric series.

Proof. First, note that the space $e(\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{z}') \cdot \widehat{R} \cdot e(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z})$ has a basis over polynomials in the dots which is in bijection with the elements of the extended affine Weyl group sending $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z})$ to $(\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{z}')$. Writing a reduced expression of this element, times a power of the length 0 rotation shows how to write this basis vector (modulo those corresponding to shorter elements of the Weyl group) as a product of straight-line diagrams. More precisely, we see that $\widehat{R}_D$ is generated over the dots by the diagrams $\Xi(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}, r_m)$ and $\Xi(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}, \nu^{\pm})$.

In order to calculate the images of these elements, note that the action of $\theta_m = (u_m - u_{m+1})r_m + k\ell$ and $r_m - 1$ in the polynomial representation can be described as:

$$\theta_m \cdot f = (u_m - u_{m+1} + k\ell) f^{r_m}$$
$$(r_m - 1) \cdot f = \frac{u_{m+1} - u_m - k\ell}{u_{m+1} - u_m}(f^{r_m} - f)$$

The confirmation that these define a homomorphism is just a simple calculation with completed polynomial representations, comparing that for A with the polynomial representation of the weighted KLR algebra introduced in [Webc, Prop. 2.7]. The formulas of (3.2) show that:

$$\Xi(\xi) \cdot f\mathsf{e}(\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{z}') = \begin{cases} f^{r_m}\mathsf{e}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}) & a_m + k\ell \neq a_{m+1} \neq a_m \\ (u_m - u_{m+1} + k\ell) f^{r_m}\mathsf{e}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}) & a_m + k\ell = a_{m+1} \neq a_m \\ \frac{f^{r_m} - f}{u_{m+1} - u_m}\mathsf{e}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}) & a_m + k\ell \neq a_{m+1} = a_m \\ (f - f^{r_m})\mathsf{e}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}) & a_m + k\ell = a_{m+1} = a_m. \end{cases}$$

The four cases in (3.2) correspond to:

(1) There are only crossings that in the diagram ξ that act trivially on the polynomial representation.
(2) There is a ghost crossing in ξ corresponding to an edge $\Sigma(a_{m+1}, z_{m+1}) \to \Sigma(a_m, z_m)$ in D where the strand moves left to right.
(3) There is a crossing of strands with the same label $\Sigma(a_m, z_m) = \Sigma(a_{m+1}, z_{m+1})$, but no ghost crossing.
(4) There is both a strand and a ghost crossing, corresponding to a loop at $\Sigma(a_m, z_m) = \Sigma(a_{m+1}, z_{m+1})$.

Thus, these match the formulae of [Webc, Prop. 2.7].

In the case of $\xi(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}, \nu^{\pm})$, this same correspondence is easily confirmed, since the straight line diagram $\xi(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}, \nu)$ only has a ghost crossing crossing if $\Upsilon(a_1) > \Upsilon(a_m - k\ell) > \Upsilon(a_1) - 1$, which is impossible if a_1 and a_m lie in the same component of D (since then they would differ by a multiple of $k\ell$), and a red/black crossing if $\Upsilon(a_1) \geq \Upsilon(-p(z_1)) > \Upsilon(a_1) - 1$, but this red/black crossing only has an interesting action if $a_1 = -p(z_1)$; Note that in this case, if $z_1 = \zeta^m$, we have that the label on the corresponding strand is $a_1 + \Gamma(z_1) = -p(\zeta^m) + m = -s_i$, so the class of $-s_i \pmod{\ell\mathbb{Z}}$ is the node labeling the corresponding red line.

a similar analysis shows that $\xi(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z}, \nu^{-1})$ never has a crossing that acts non-trivially.

This shows that we have a homomorphism $R_D \to \mathrm{End}(\bigoplus_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{z}} P_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{z}})$. Note that the annihilator of $\mathsf{H}/\sum(\mathsf{H}(u_i - a_i)^N + \mathsf{H}(t_i - z_i)^N)$ in R_D is the 2-sided ideal I_N generated by y_i^N. We let J_N denote the 2-sided ideal generated by all elements of degree $\geq N$. Obviously $I_N \subset J_N$, and these generate the same topology, since R_D is a finitely generated module over the polynomials in y_i. Thus the homomorphism above extends to a continuous homomorphism $\widehat{R}_D \to \mathrm{End}(\bigoplus_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{z}} P_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{z}})$.

The fact that the polynomial representation of $\widehat{R}_D$ is pulled back by this homomorphism shows that the homomorphism is injective. On the other hand, the formulas above show that the topological generators of H are in its image, so it is surjective as well. Thus, we obtain the desired isomorphism (3.1). □

Theorem 3.3 *The functor* $\mathsf{W}\colon \mathsf{H}\text{-mod}_D \to \widehat{R}_D\text{-mod}$ *sending* $M \mapsto \oplus_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{z}} W_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{z}}(M)$ *is fully-faithful, and its essential image is the subcategory of* $\widehat{R}_D$ *modules* N *such that* $e(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z})N$ *is finite dimensional for all* $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z})$.

Proof. The exactness of the functors $W_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{z}}$ shows that the limits $P_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{z}}$ are projective. This shows that the functor W is full, since any homomorphism between modules lifts to their projective resolutions. The functor W is faithful since any module in $\mathsf{H}\text{-mod}_D$ killed by all the weight functors $W_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{z}}$ is trivial. This functor has an adjoint

$$\mathsf{h}(M) = (\oplus_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{z}} P_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{z}}) \otimes_{\widehat{R}_D} M$$

with the property that

$$\mathsf{W}(\mathsf{h}(N)) \cong N \qquad W_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{z}}(\mathsf{h}(N)) \cong e(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z})N.$$

Thus, if the latter is finite dimensional then $\mathsf{h}(N)$ is a weight module, showing that N is in the image of a weight module under the functor W. □

3.2. **Positive characteristic.** Lemma 3.2 fails as stated if $\Bbbk$ is a field of characteristic p; its very statement uses the existence of $\mathbb{Q}$-linear maps $\Bbbk \to \mathbb{R}$. However, the functor W and the general strategy of computing its endomorphisms remain valid. The result is quite interesting because of its relationship to the coherent sheaves on the degree n Hilbert scheme of $\mathbb{C}^2/(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$. More precisely, consider the case where $\Bbbk = \mathbb{F}_p$ for $p \nmid \ell$, and D is the (finite) set of all pairs possible in this field; let $\mathsf{Coh}_{\mathrm{pun}}(\mathsf{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2/(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})))$ be the category of coherent sheaves on the Hilbert scheme supported on a formal neighborhood of the punctual Hilbert scheme. In the case of $\ell = 1$, this is a well-established result of Bezrukavnikov, Finkelberg and Ginzburg:

Proposition 3.4 ([BFG06, Thms. 1.3.2 & 1.4.1]) *For* $p \gg 0$ *and* k *generic, we have that*

$$D^b(\mathsf{H}\text{-mod}_D) \cong D^b(\mathsf{Coh}_{\mathrm{pun}}(\mathsf{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{C}^2))).$$

A version of this result also holds for $\ell > 1$, but seems not to have been carefully established in the literature.

We'll discuss the computation of End(W) in a more general context in future work, where we can give more detailed context; the combinatorial description of this endomorphism algebra is a cylindrical version of the KLR algebra which has not yet been introduced in the literature. This modified KLR algebra is actually a more useful object for algebraic geometers than the Cherednik algebra, since even in characteristic 0, it appears as the endomorphisms of a tilting bundle on the Hilbert scheme, and thus can describe all coherent sheaves, not just those set-theoretically supported on the punctual Hilbert scheme.

This also fits into a more general context about Coulomb branches (as discussed in Section 4) in characteristic p, which we do not have the space to develop here.

3.3. **Category $\mathcal{O}$.** For a fixed choice of parameters $k, s_i \in \Bbbk$, we let

$$D = \{s_i + mk \mid m \in [-n, n]\}.$$

As before, this is a union of finite linear quivers if $k \notin \mathbb{Q}$ or n is small; it is a union of e-cycles if $k = a/e$ in reduced form, and $n > e/2$.

As noted earlier, H-mod$_D$ has a natural subcategory $\mathcal{O}^+$ consisting of finitely generated modules on which x_i acts nilpotently, considered by [GGOR03]; we can equally well consider $\mathcal{O}^-$, where y_i acts nilpotently, which is the Ringel dual of $\mathcal{O}^+$ by [GGOR03, 4.11]. In [Webb, Th. A], this category is related to a quotient of the weighted KLR algebra: the steadied quotient. We'll only be interested in a special case of this notion (which in general depends on a choice of stability condition). We'll say that a loading is **unsteady** (for the positive stability condition) if there exists a real number $\delta \geq \Upsilon(-p(\zeta^i))$ such that a non-empty set of points in the loading have x-value $> \delta + |\Upsilon(k)|$, and all others have x-value $\leq \delta$. There is also a negative stability condition where all signs above are reversed: we have $\delta \leq \Upsilon(-p(\zeta^i))$, a non-empty set of points have x-value $< \delta - |\Upsilon(k)|$, and all others have x-value $\geq \delta$. The quotient by all unsteady loadings (for one stability condition) is called the **steadied quotient**; we denote these by $R_D(\pm)$ for the positive/negative stability condition.

Note that these algebras have a number of desirable properties: they are cellular and highest weight (since new edges connected to the same vertex in D always have different weightings) by [Webb, Th. B].

Theorem 3.5 *The functor W induces an equivalence $\mathcal{O}^{\pm} \cong R_D(\pm)$-mod.*

Proof. Since the proof is the same in both cases, we consider the case of $\mathcal{O}^-$. The pair $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z})$ corresponds to an unsteady loading if and only if there exists $I \subset [1, n]$ and a real number $\delta \leq \Upsilon(-s_i)$ for all i such that $\Upsilon(a_i) < \delta - |\Upsilon(k)|$ if $i \in I$ and $\Upsilon(a_i) \geq \delta$ if $i \notin I$. Note that permuting an element of I past one in $[1, n] \setminus I$ gives an isomorphism between the corresponding weight functors, so without loss of generality,

we can assume that $I = [1, q]$. Similarly, we have an isomorphism of $W_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{z}} \cong W_{\mathbf{a}_g,\mathbf{z}}$ where $\mathbf{a}_g = (a_1 - g\ell, \dots, a_q - g\ell, a_{q+1}, \dots, a_n)$, since the corresponding loadings are connected by a crossingless diagram. If $N \in \mathcal{O}$, then N must be killed by $W_{\mathbf{a}_g,\mathbf{z}}$ for $g \gg 0$, since the Euler eigenvalues of N are bounded below. Thus, $\mathsf{W}(N)$ is killed by $e(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z})$ for any unsteady loading, and thus the action on it factors through the steadied quotient.

On the other hand, if the action on M factors through the steadied quotient, then $\mathsf{h}(M)$ has Euler eigenvalues which are bounded below, since any pair $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{z})$ with $\sum \Upsilon(a_j)$ sufficiently negative must be unsteady; specifically, if $\sum \Upsilon(a_j) < n(\min(-s_i) - |\Upsilon(k)|$ then the loading must be unsteady. Since $\mathsf{h}(M)$ is finitely generated, and the action of eu is locally finite, this shows that $\mathsf{h}(M)$ lies in category $\mathcal{O}$. □

Perhaps a few remarks are called for about the match of this result with [Webb, Thm. 4.7]. Theorem 3.5 is more general, since it does not assume that $\Bbbk = \mathbb{C}$. To recover [Webb, Thm. 4.7], we consider the case where $\Upsilon\colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by taking real part. The match of these results in the case where both theorems apply uses an automorphism of the weighted KLR algebra:

- If you reflect the diagrams through the y-axis, the resulting algebra is isomorphic (and uses the opposite stability condition.
- If you reverse the orientation on the cycle, and negate its weighting, the resulting algebra is isomorphic.

Thus, the fact that for $\mathcal{O}^+$ we use the positive stabilization (when [Webb] uses the negative) cancels with the fact that we used $\Upsilon(-p(\zeta^i))$ as the x-value of our red lines (which is also the negative of the convention in [Webb]). Furthermore, the two results use opposite orientations (reversed by the second step above), but the same weighting (which is negated by both steps above, and thus preserved). Thus, indeed, the results are compatible.

Finally, we turn to considering the KZ functor of $\mathcal{O}^{\pm}$. This functor has a categorical interpretation: it is represented by the sum of all self-dual projectives, with multiplicities given by the dimensions of simple modules over Hecke algebras at roots of unity. The functors $W_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{z}}$ are also represented by projectives and thus it is natural to try to express the KZ functor in terms of them.

Choose a fixed lift $\varphi\colon D \to \Bbbk$, where $\Sigma(\varphi(d), 1) = d$. Choose an integer

$$N \gg \max_{\substack{i \in [1,\ell] \\ d \in D}} (|\Upsilon(p(\zeta^i)|, \Upsilon(k)|, |\Upsilon(\varphi(d))|).$$

For each n-tuple $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_n) \in D^n$, let

$$\mathbf{a}^{\pm}_{\mathbf{d}} = (\varphi(d_1) \mp N, \varphi(d_2) \mp 2N, \dots, \varphi(d_n) \mp nN) \qquad \mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1).$$

Theorem 3.6 *The functor* KZ *on* $\mathcal{O}^{\pm}$ *is isomorphic to the sum* $\oplus_{\mathbf{d} \in D^n} W_{\mathbf{a}^{\pm}_{\mathbf{d}},\mathbf{1}}$.

Proof. As before, the argument is identical for the two different signs, and so we consider $\mathcal{O}^-$. We need only show that there is an isomorphism between the representing projectives. For $\mathbf{d} \in D^N$, we can define a loding which places a dot with label d_m at $x = mN$. Let $e_{s,n} \in R_D(-)$ be the sum of the idempotents for these loadings. From the isomorphisms of Theorems 3.3 and 3.5, we know that $\oplus_{\mathbf{d}\in D^n} W_{\mathbf{a}^-_{\mathbf{d}},\mathbf{1}}$ corresponds to the projective over $R_D(-)$ given by $R_D(-)e_{s,N}$. The isomorphism [Webb, Thm. 4.5] sends this to the idempotent $e_{D_{s,N}}$ in the notation of [Webb, Sec. 2.5], which [Webb, Thm. 3.9] shows corresponds to the KZ functor. □

The endomorphisms of the functor $\oplus_{\mathbf{d}\in D^n} W_{\mathbf{a}^\pm_{\mathbf{d}},\mathbf{1}}$ are isomorphic to the cyclotomic KLR algebra with n strands corresponding to the highest weight $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \omega_{s_i}$. Previous work of Brundan and Kleshchev [BK09] has constructed an isomorphism of these to the cyclotomic Hecke algebras which naturally act by monodromy on KZ.

4. Coulomb branches

This isomorphism makes it easy to see the relationship between the cyclotomic Cherednik algebra and quantum Coulomb branches. Consider the GL_n representation $V = \mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\oplus \ell}$, and consider the BFN space

$$\mathbb{X} = \{(g(t), v(t)) \in GL_n((t)) \times_{GL_n[[t]]} V[[t]] \mid g(t) \cdot v(t) \in V[[t]]\}$$

as discussed in [Nak, BFN]. For an action of GL_N on any space, we will use the term **equivariant parameters** to mean the equivariant Chern classes of the trivial bundle with fiber $\mathbb{C}^N$. The BFN space has:

(1) an action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ by loop rotation with equivariant parameter $\hbar$;
(2) an obvious action of $GL_n[[t]]$ with equivariant parameters $e_i(\mathbf{U})$;
(3) an action of GL_ℓ on the multiplicity space of $\mathbb{C}^n$ with equivariant parameters $e_i(\mathbf{s})$;
(4) an action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ by scalar multiplication on $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ with equivariant parameter k.

All of these actions commute. We let G be the product of the first two, and H the product of the last two. Consider the $G \times H$-equivariant Borel-Moore homology $\mathfrak{A} = H_*^{G\times H}(\mathbb{X})$; this algebra is the quantum Coulomb branch of the gauge theory attached to V.

This algebra acts naturally on the $G \times H$-equivariant homology of $V[[t]]$, which is the same as that of a point, that is, a polynomial ring over $\Bbbk$ in the equivariant parameters $\hbar, e_i(\mathbf{U}), e_i(\mathbf{s}), k$.

Theorem 4.1 *There is an isomorphism of $e\mathsf{H}e$ with the quantum Coulomb branch $\mathfrak{A}$. This isomorphism is induced by the isomorphism $\mathscr{U}^\Gamma \otimes \Pi \cong H^*_{G\times H}(*)$ discussed above.*

In [dBHOO97], the commutative Coulomb branch of the corresponding gauge theory is described as the cone $\mathrm{Sym}^n(\mathbb{C}^2/(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}))$; by the uniqueness of quantizations shown

by Losev [Los12, Losa], we must have that $\mathfrak{A}$ is isomorphic to $e\mathsf{H}e$, which is a well-known quantization of this variety. However, having a concrete understanding of this isomorphism is of course, more useful, and more revealing about the structure of both algebras. Since a proof of this result was recently given by Kodera-Nakajima [KN], we will only sketch the isomorphism below. However, we believe it is of some independent interest, since this isomorphism is quite straightforward given the isomorphism of Theorem 2.3.

Let us prove a slightly stronger (but none the less easier) version of this theorem. The BFN space can be replaced by its Iwahori analogue

$$\mathbb{X}' = \{(g(t), v(t)) \in GL_n((t)) \times_I V[[t]] \mid g(t) \cdot v(t) \in V[[t]]\},$$

and the quantum Coulomb branch can be replaced by its Iwahori version $\mathfrak{A}' = H_*^{I \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbb{X}')$; see [BEF, §4] for a more detailed discussion of this variety. Similarly, we can replace $e\mathsf{H}e$ by $e'\mathsf{H}e'$ where

$$e' = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^n} t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_n^{i_n}$$

is just the idempotent symmetrizing for the action of $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^n$. Both these algebras act naturally on $\mathscr{U} \cong H^*_{I \times \mathbb{C}^*}(*)$, identifying the variables U_i with the Euler classes of the tautological line bundles on the classifying space of I.

Lemma 4.2 *There is an isomorphism of $e'\mathsf{H}e'$ with the flag quantum Coulomb branch $\mathfrak{A}'$. This isomorphism is induced by the obvious isomorphism $\mathscr{U} \cong H^*_{I \times \mathbb{C}^*}(*)$.*

This extension is also proven by Braverman-Etingof-Finkelberg [BEF, §4.2] with a similar proof.

Proof. In both cases, we have a copy of polynomial multiplication, given by the $e'u_i$ in $e'\mathsf{H}e'$ and the Chern classes of tautological bundles in $\mathfrak{A}'$. We also have copies of S_n which act as in dAHA. In $\mathfrak{A}'$, this is given by the pullback of the action of S_n on the Springer sheaf. Finally, the shift element $e'y_n^{\ell-1}\tau e'$ agrees with the shift correspondence

$$X_\tau = \{(V_\bullet, V'_\bullet \mid V_i = V'_{i+1}\}$$

and $e'x_1^{\ell-1}\sigma e'$ agrees with the correspondence

$$X_\sigma = \{(V_\bullet, V'_\bullet \mid V_i = V'_{i-1}\}$$

To see that these act the same way, we need only check their commutation with u_i, as in (2.1g–2.1h), and that they act correctly on the unit. The commutation is clear, since the shift correspondence simply reindexes the tautological line bundles.

The element $e'y_n^{\ell-1}\tau e'$ and $[X_\tau]$ both send 1 to 1. The element $e'x_1^{\ell-1}\sigma e'$ sends 1 to

$$(u_1 + p(1))(u_1 - \hbar + p(\zeta^{-1})) \cdots (u_1 - (\ell-1)\hbar + p(\zeta)) = u_1^\ell + e_1(\mathbf{s})u_1^{\ell-1} + e_2(\mathbf{s})u_1^{\ell-2} + \cdots + e_\ell(\mathbf{s}). \tag{4.1}$$

On the other hand, $[X_\sigma]\cdot 1$ is the class of the subspace of flags such that $\rho\cdot v(t)\in V[[t]]$ where

$$\rho=\begin{bmatrix}0&1&0&\cdots&0\\0&0&1&\cdots&0\\0&0&0&\cdots&0\\\vdots&\vdots&\vdots&\ddots&\vdots\\t^{-1}&0&0&\cdots&0\end{bmatrix}.$$

The obstruction to this is the constant term of the first component of $v(t)$. This is a section of ℓ copies of the tautological bundle on the affine Grassmannian, which transform according to the standard representation of GL_ℓ. Thus, $[X_\sigma]\cdot 1$ is just the Euler class of this line bundle. Using the notation introduced before and the Whitney sum formula, this is indeed

$$u_1^\ell+e_1(\mathbf{s})u_1^{\ell-1}+e_2(\mathbf{s})u_1^{\ell-2}+\cdots+e_\ell(\mathbf{s}).$$

Since this agrees with (4.1), this completes the proof that we have a map $e'\mathsf{H}e'\to\mathfrak{A}'$.

We note that $\mathbb{X}'$ has a cell decomposition pulling back the Schubert decomposition, and this map hits the fundamental class of each cell. Using the shift elements constructed above, we see that the map from $e'\mathsf{H}e'$ hits the classes of Schubert cells for all simple reflections. Multiplying the classes of the simple reflections in the reduced decomposition of an element of the Weyl group hits the class of the corresponding Schubert cell, plus those of shorter length, by a standard argument (see, for example, [SW, Lemma 3.13]). Thus, the map is surjective, and the proof is completed. □

References

[BEF] Alexander Braverman, Pavel Etingof, and Michael Finkelberg, *Cyclotomic double affine Hecke algebras*, personal communication.

[BFG06] Roman Bezrukavnikov, Michael Finkelberg, and Victor Ginzburg, *Cherednik algebras and Hilbert schemes in characteristic p*, Represent. Theory **10** (2006), 254–298, With an appendix by Pavel Etingof. MR 2219114

[BFN] Alexander Braverman, Michael Finkelberg, and Hiraku Nakajima, *Towards a mathematical definition of Coulomb branches of 3-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=4$ gauge theories, II*, `arXiv:1601.03586`.

[BK09] Jonathan Brundan and Alexander Kleshchev, *Blocks of cyclotomic Hecke algebras and Khovanov-Lauda algebras*, Invent. Math. **178** (2009), 451–484.

[dBHOO97] Jan de Boer, Kentaro Hori, Hirosi Ooguri, and Yaron Oz, *Mirror symmetry in three-dimensional gauge theories, quivers and D-branes*, Nuclear Phys. B **493** (1997), no. 1-2, 101–147.

[DG10] Charles Dunkl and Stephen Griffeth, *Generalized Jack polynomials and the representation theory of rational Cherednik algebras*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **16** (2010), no. 4, 791–818. MR 2734331

[DO03] C. F. Dunkl and E. M. Opdam, *Dunkl operators for complex reflection groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **86** (2003), no. 1, 70–108. MR 1971464

[EG02] Pavel Etingof and Victor Ginzburg, *Symplectic reflection algebras, Calogero-Moser space, and deformed Harish-Chandra homomorphism*, Invent. Math. **147** (2002), no. 2, 243–348.

[GGOR03] Victor Ginzburg, Nicolas Guay, Eric Opdam, and Raphaël Rouquier, *On the category $\mathcal{O}$ for rational Cherednik algebras*, Invent. Math. **154** (2003), no. 3, 617–651.

[GL] Iain Gordon and Ivan Losev, *On category $\mathcal{O}$ for cyclotomic rational Cherednik algebras*, arXiv:1109.2315.

[Gri] Stephen Griffeth, *Unitary representations of rational Cherednik algebras, II*, arXiv:1106.5094.

[KL09] Mikhail Khovanov and Aaron D. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups. I*, Represent. Theory **13** (2009), 309–347.

[KN] Ryosuke Kodera and Hiraku Nakajima, *Quantized Coulomb branches of Jordan quiver gauge theories and cyclotomic rational Cherednik algebras*, arXiv:1608.00875.

[Losa] Ivan Losev, *Deformations of symplectic singularities and orbit method for semisimple lie algebras*, arXiv:1605.00592.

[Losb] ———, *Proof of Varagnolo-Vasserot conjecture on cyclotomic categories $\mathcal{O}$*, arXiv:1305.4894.

[Los12] ———, *Isomorphisms of quantizations via quantization of resolutions*, Adv. in Math. (2012), no. 231, 1216–1270.

[Nak] Hiraku Nakajima, *Towards a mathematical definition of Coulomb branches of 3-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauge theories, I*, arXiv:1503.03676.

[Rou] Raphael Rouquier, *2-Kac-Moody algebras*, arXiv:0812.5023.

[RSVV] Raphael Rouquier, Peng Shan, Michela Varagnolo, and Eric Vasserot, *Categorifications and cyclotomic rational double affine Hecke algebras*, arXiv:1305.4456.

[SW] Catharina Stroppel and Ben Webster, *Quiver Schur algebras and q-Fock space*, arXiv:1110.1115.

[Weba] Ben Webster, *Knot invariants and higher representation theory*, to appear in the Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society; arXiv:1309.3796.

[Webb] ———, *Rouquier's conjecture and diagrammatic algebra*, arXiv:1306.0074.

[Webc] ———, *Weighted Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras*, arXiv:1209.2463.